

組 ( ) 番号 ( ) 名前 ( )

点

Environmental destruction has driven much migration. [A] Slash-and-burn farming might by now be largely a thing of the past. [B] Yet, whenever people exploit resources without considering the future, the likelihood of migration increases. [C] That has been the experience of Nauru. Encouraged by foreign corporations, the Nauruans allowed their tropical paradise to be destroyed for money. [D] Today, with no other source of income available, they have turned their island into a temporary home for migrants attempting to reach Australia. Ironically, now that their natural resources have been used up, many Nauruans themselves may soon choose to leave. Obviously, Nauru's story cannot be repeated on a global scale, as there would be nowhere left to run.

設問1 Indeed を補うとすると、[A]、[B]、[C]、[D]の内どこが適当か。(20)  
( )

設問2 この段落の主張文に下線を引き、テーマを○で囲みなさい。(20)

設問3 下線部を和訳しなさい。(30)

---



---

設問4 最も適当なものを1つ選んで○で囲みなさい。(30)

What is the author's main reason for raising the example of Nauru in this paragraph?

1. To argue that environmental destruction is an inevitable result of migration.
2. To argue that on a global scale, foreigners will abandon polluted places.
3. To explain that access to easy migration encourages environmental destruction.
4. To explain that profits based on short-term thinking can lead to migration.

慶応大学経済学部